1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 JAVIER TAPIA, CASE NO. C22-1141-KKE 8 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE 9 TO DEPOSE DR. JEFFREY ALVAREZ, M.D. v. 10 NAPHCARE INC. et al, 11 Defendant. 12 13 14 This matter came before the Court on the Parties' Joint Statement pursuant to this Court's 15 discovery dispute procedure in which Plaintiff Javier Tapia sought leave to depose Dr. Jeffrey 16 Alvarez, M.D. Dkt. No. 83. Leave of Court is required because Tapia has already taken ten 17 depositions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2). NaphCare objects to exceeding the ten-deposition limit and 18 on the additional ground that Dr. Alvarez is an "apex witness." Dkt. No. 83 at 2-3. 19 The Court held a discovery conference on January 16, 2024, at which both parties were 20 heard on the issue of the additional deposition. Dkt. No. 84. For the reasons stated on the record, 21 the Court ORDERS as follows: 22 1) Plaintiff's motion to depose Dr. Alvarez (Dkt. No. 83) is denied without prejudice; 23 24

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DEPOSE DR. JEFFREY ALVAREZ - 1

- 2) If additional discovery produced in this action indicates that Dr. Alvarez has the requisite first-hand knowledge of the relevant issues in this case to warrant his deposition as required by the applicable authority, Plaintiff may re-file his motion;
- 3) Plaintiff's motion must set forth a particularized showing that an additional deposition is warranted and that the depositions taken to date have been warranted; and
- 4) Before Plaintiff may re-file his motion, the parties must meet and confer in good faith.

 However, Plaintiff is no longer required to utilize this Court's discovery dispute procedure with respect to this issue.

Dated this 17th day of January, 2024.

Kymberly K. Evanson United States District Judge

Hymberly X Eanson